Enhancing Accuracy and Reliability with Influencer Functions

Brandon Panos

Visual Inspection for Quality Control and Traceability,

September 9, 2024





Fachhochschule Graubünden University of Applied Sciences





https://brandonlpanos.herokuapp.com/

brandon.panos@fhnw.ch



Optional flatten depending on the function



Tunable function



The life cycle of an image: Data driven



Optional flatten depending on the function

Data driven automatic optimization



Optional flatten depending on the function End of the story....?

NO!

We have no idea how the function makes its decisions

This is dangerous in fields that effect the public























Model Explainability: Integrated Gradients



Model Explainability: Integrated Gradients



Model Explainability: Integrated Gradients



Model Explainability



Occlusion

Grad-CAM

Integrated Gradients

Grad X Input

Pneumonia



Normal explainability methods Output is attributed over pixels





Influencer Functions allow us to attribute a model score over full training instances







What images during training were useful and adversarial for this instances prediction?

- 1. Standard XAI allow us to trace a model's predictions back to the pixel values
- 2. Influence functions allow us to trace a model's predictions back to the training data

Influence Functions: Naive approach

Occlusion



To expensive









What images during training were useful and adversarial for this instances prediction? $\hat{ heta} = rg\min_{ heta} rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L\left(z_{i}, heta
ight)$ Model is trained to find the optimal parameters that minimize the empirical risk

$$\hat{\theta}_{\epsilon,z} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} rg\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L(z_i, \theta) + \epsilon L(z, \theta)$$

First estimate how much the model parameters have to change if we upweight one of the training samples by a small amount

$$I_{\text{up,params}}(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left. \frac{d\hat{\theta}_{\epsilon,z}}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} = -H_{\hat{\theta}}^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} L(z,\hat{\theta})$$

Influence of z point on model weights (classical result) Would the model have to change drastically to be optimal or not, measure of point importance.

$$I_{\rm up,loss} (z, z_{\rm test}) = -\nabla_{\theta} L \left(z_{\rm test}, \hat{\theta} \right)^{T} H_{\hat{\theta}}^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} L(z, \hat{\theta})$$

Point z's impact on a test prediction of point z test

How sensitive is the prediction of our test point

How much the training point influences the models to changes in the models parameters

parameters

Models stiffness/resistance to change

Point z's impact on a test prediction of point z test

Notice we never have to retrain the model, all we have to do is take derivatives



1. How sensitive is the prediction of our test point to changes in the models parameters

- 2. Model's stiffness/resistance to change
- 3. How much the training point influences the models parameters

No need to retrain the entire model, we just need to take derivatives!

An efficient occlusion method

$$I_{\mathrm{up,loss}} (z, z_{\mathrm{test}}) = -\nabla_{\theta} L \left(z_{\mathrm{test}}, \hat{\theta} \right)^{T} H_{\hat{\theta}}^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} L(z, \hat{\theta})$$

Closed expression for the change in loss wrt a test point when upweighting one of the training examples

 \hat{y}





- 1. How sensitive is the prediction of our test point to changes in the models parameters
- 2. Model's stiffness/resistance to change
- 3. How much the training point influences the models parameters

No need to retrain the entire model, we just need to take derivatives!

An efficient occlusion method

$$I_{\mathrm{up,loss}} (z, z_{\mathrm{test}}) = -\nabla_{\theta} L \left(z_{\mathrm{test}}, \hat{\theta} \right)^{T} H_{\hat{\theta}}^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} L(z, \hat{\theta})$$



- 1. How sensitive is the prediction of our test point to changes in the models parameters
- 2. Model's stiffness/resistance to change
- 3. How much the training point influences the models parameters

No need to retrain the entire model, we just need to take derivatives!

An efficient occlusion method

$$I_{\rm up,loss} (z, z_{\rm test}) = -\nabla_{\theta} L \left(z_{\rm test}, \hat{\theta} \right)^T H_{\hat{\theta}}^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} L(z, \hat{\theta})$$



- 1. How sensitive is the prediction of our test point to changes in the models parameters
- 2. Model's stiffness/resistance to change
- 3. How much the training point influences the models parameters

No need to retrain the entire model, we just need to take derivatives!

An efficient occlusion method

$$I_{ ext{up,loss}}\left(z, z_{ ext{test}}
ight) = -
abla_{ heta} L\left(z_{ ext{test}}, \hat{ heta}
ight)^T H_{\hat{ heta}}^{-1}
abla_{ heta} L(z, \hat{ heta})$$



- 2. Model's stiffness/resistance to change
- 3. How much the training point influences the models parameters

No need to retrain the entire model, we just need to take derivatives!

An efficient occlusion method

$$I_{ ext{up,loss}} \left(z, z_{ ext{test}}
ight) = -
abla_{ heta} L \left(z_{ ext{test}} , \hat{ heta}
ight)^T H_{\hat{ heta}}^{-1}
abla_{ heta} L(z, \hat{ heta})$$



- 1. How sensitive is the prediction of our test point to changes in the models parameters
- 2. Model's stiffness/resistance to change
- 3. How much the training point influences the models parameters

No need to retrain the entire model, we just need to take derivatives!

An efficient occlusion method

$$I_{ ext{up,loss}} \left(z, z_{ ext{test}}
ight) = -
abla_{ heta} L \left(z_{ ext{test}} , \hat{ heta}
ight)^T H_{\hat{ heta}}^{-1}
abla_{ heta} L(z, \hat{ heta})$$



- 1. How sensitive is the prediction of our test point to changes in the models parameters
- 2. Model's stiffness/resistance to change
- 3. How much the training point influences the models parameters

No need to retrain the entire model, we just need to take derivatives!

An efficient occlusion method

$$I_{\rm up,loss} (z, z_{\rm test}) = -\nabla_{\theta} L \left(z_{\rm test}, \hat{\theta} \right)^T H_{\hat{\theta}}^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} L(z, \hat{\theta})$$



$$i_{i}$$

- 1. How sensitive is the prediction of our test point to changes in the models parameters
- 2. Model's stiffness/resistance to change
- 3. How much the training point influences the models parameters

No need to retrain the entire model, we just need to take derivatives!

An efficient occlusion method

$$I_{\rm up,loss} (z, z_{\rm test}) = -\nabla_{\theta} L \left(z_{\rm test}, \hat{\theta} \right)^T H_{\hat{\theta}}^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} L(z, \hat{\theta})$$





Identifying adversarial correctly labeled examples











Lower-level features such as contrast and texture seem to have higher influence for SVM

higher-level features such as shape and patterns seem to be important for InceptionN



Identify mislabeled data



Identifying adversarial correctly labeled examples











Lower-level features such as contrast and texture seem to have higher influence for SVM

higher-level features such as shape and patterns seem to be important for InceptionN



Identify mislabeled data











Most helpful training examples

Inception net





Lower-level features such as contrast and texture seem to have higher influence for SVM

higher-level features such as shape and patterns seem to be important for InceptionN



Identify mislabeled data



Identifying adversarial correctly labeled examples





Lower-level features such as contrast and texture seem to have higher influence for SVM

higher-level features such as shape and patterns seem to be important for InceptionN

Understanding model behaviour

Most helpful training examples

Most helpful training examples



https://github.com/kohpangwei/influence-release



Original paper https://arxiv.org/pdf/1703.04730



Example form converge multiclassification dataset



Original Images

Grad-CAM

Integrated Gradients